

Chair
Councillor Clare Kober

Deputy Chair
Councillor Lorna Reith

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This report covers matters considered by the Cabinet at our meeting on 12 October 2010. For ease of reference the report is divided into the Cabinet portfolios.
- 1.2 We trust that this report will be helpful to Members in their representative role and facilitate a fruitful dialogue between the Cabinet and all groups of Councillors. These reports are a welcome opportunity for the Cabinet on a regular basis to present the priorities and achievements of the Cabinet to Council colleagues for consideration and comment. The Cabinet values and encourages the input of fellow members.

ITEM FOR DECISION

Planning and Regeneration

2. HARINGEY'S LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK: PROPOSED SUBMISSION CORE STRATEGY – RESULTS OF PUBLIC CONSULTATION

- 2.1 We considered a report which advised us that following consultation in May and June 2010 on the Proposed Submission Core Strategy a number of minor amendments had been suggested. Before these changes were submitted to the Secretary of State they required our approval. Our approval was also required to carry out a further four week public consultation on the revised affordable housing policy (part of Strategic Policy (SP) 2 Housing) and on employment land designations.
- 2.2 We noted that the Core Strategy was the new spatial plan for Haringey 2011-2026 and was the primary spatial planning policy document in Haringey's Local Development Framework. The Strategy set out the Council's vision and key policies for the future development of the Borough up to 2026.
- 2.3 The Core Strategy built on the Regional Plan (the London Plan prepared by the Mayor of London) and sought to support the Haringey Strategic Partnership in delivering the Sustainable Community Strategy. The Core Strategy was a corporate document that set out where growth and change would happen in the Borough over the coming 15 years and, where possible, identify the infrastructure needed to support this growth. The Core Strategy document (a copy of which has been published on the Council's web site) also included a set of 17 key planning policies for a number of borough wide priorities including provision of affordable housing; economic prosperity, conservation and heritage; high quality urban design; climate change and provision of open and children's play space.
- 2.4 We also noted that extensive consultation had taken place at each stage of the development of the Core Strategy and account had been taken of both a comprehensive evidence base and earlier representations in line with the adopted Statement of Community Involvement.

- 2.5 We report that we approved the suggested minor amendments to Haringey's proposed Submission Core Strategy. We also approved a revision to the Affordable Housing Policy, part of Strategic Policy (SP) 2 Housing, and changes to employment land designations for the purpose of a further four week public consultation. Authority to approve subsequent changes to SP2 Housing, the employment land designations and other minor amendments was delegated to the Director of Urban Environment in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Planning and Regeneration.

WE RECOMMEND

That Haringey's Core Strategy, a schedule of suggested minor amendments, a revised SP2 Housing, changes to the employment land designations (incorporating changes from the final four week consultation) and other supporting documents be submitted to the Secretary of State for examination by an Independent Planning Inspector and, subject to the outcome of that examination, be adopted.

ITEMS OF REPORT

Planning and Regeneration

3. DRAFT SUSTAINABLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT

- 3.1 We considered a report which sought our approval to go out to public consultation on a draft 'Sustainable Design and Construction Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)' which had been prepared as part of the Council's Local Development Framework.
- 3.2 We noted that the Sustainable Design and Construction (SDC) SPD did not create new policy but aimed to complement and provide clarity on the implementation of national, regional and local planning policies which promoted sustainable buildings and reduction in carbon dioxide emissions. The SPD supported the implementation of Haringey's decentralised energy initiatives and provided guidance on -
- Use principles of passive solar design
 - Promote decentralised energy networks and renewable energy
 - Make buildings more resilient to climate change
 - Reduce water use
 - Protect biodiversity
 - Reduce waste, improve resource efficiency and promote sustainable waste management
- 3.3 The SPD, once adopted, would form part of the Council's Local Development Framework and have to be in conformity with the national, regional and local policies. Consequently, its full adoption would follow the emerging local spatial plan, i.e. Haringey's Core Strategy adoption timeline. It would also be taken into account in the determination of planning applications for major and minor development proposals and would also be utilised to guide design and construction projects including schemes in Muswell Hill Low Carbon Zone and housing refurbishment programmes.

3.4 We report that we agreed the draft Sustainable Design and Construction Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) for the purpose of public consultation between November 2010 and January 2011 and, prior to its full adoption as an SPD, to the utilisation of the draft SPD as an interim guide for planning and regeneration decisions on all planning schemes. We also agreed to delegate authority to make minor clarifications and amendments to the draft guide which might be necessary prior to the public consultation to the Assistant Director Planning and Regeneration in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Planning and Regeneration.

4. HOUSE EXTENSIONS IN SOUTH TOTTENHAM SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT

- 4.1 We considered a report which sought our approval to the adoption of the House extensions in South Tottenham Supplementary Planning Document prepared for house extensions in the South Tottenham area of the Borough.
- 4.2 We recognised the need to provide design guidance to householders seeking planning permission for house extensions to relieve overcrowding and to provide for additional habitable accommodation for large families in parts of South Tottenham. We noted that there was a pressing case for new design guidance to regularise some design principles for roof extensions in the area and that such guidance had to be of Supplementary Planning Document status to ensure that it was of sufficient planning merit for decision making in the development management functions of the Council.
- 4.3 We report that we approved the adoption of House Extensions South Tottenham Supplementary Planning Document as planning guidance as part of the Local Development Framework.

Housing

5. GOVERNMENT POLICY CHANGES IN HOUSING AND THE IMPACT ON TEMPORARY ACCOMMODATION AND HOUSING PROVISION IN THE BOROUGH

- 5.1 We considered a report which provided us with an update on recent Government policy changes in relation to housing and the likely impact that these changes would have on future housing provision and the Council's medium term financial strategy. The report also sought our approval to a series of actions that were needed to minimise the impact of changes to the housing subsidy regime and the Housing Benefit system.
- 5.2 We noted that a series of important changes to Government policy were putting additional pressures on the Borough at a time when it was already responding to significant housing challenges. As a result we needed to respond as a Council both in policy terms and to mitigate the impact on our financial position. As well as updating us on the work done to respond to the Borough's challenges including the high levels of homelessness the report identified further options for moving forward in uncertain times. It also recommended a course of action that would help the Council mitigate the immediate financial impact of changes to the housing subsidy regime and housing benefit system and shape our housing function so that it provided a sustainable way to

meet our housing aspirations over the medium term.

5.3 We report that having noted the Government's policy changes and the progress that had been made in reducing the number of homeless households in temporary accommodation we approved revised targets of 3,000 and 2,600 for March 2011 and March 2012 respectively. We also noted some of the other issues and options under consideration including –

- The role of the private rented sector in meeting the housing needs of those households who were unlikely to be offered social rented housing in the foreseeable future;
- The role of the new Housing Allocations Policy in helping the Council to make best use of the Borough's social housing stock;
- The different ways in which the Council commissions services and works collaboratively across service boundaries in order to maximize new housing supply;
- The different ways in which the cost of the Council's temporary accommodation could be reduced to an amount that would be fully met by the housing subsidy payments;
- The future of the entire temporary accommodation stock portfolio; and
- The different options available to the Council in relation to its investment in and management of its existing and future housing stock.

6. HOUSING ALLOCATIONS, LETTINGS AND HOMELESSNESS SERVICES RE-INSPECTION - FINAL REPORT

6.1 We considered a report which informed us of the outcome of the Audit Commission's re-inspection of the Council's housing allocations, lettings and homelessness services and to summarise the contents of the final inspection report. The report also sought our approval to the approach to be taken in responding to the Audit Commission's recommendations.

6.2 We noted that the Audit Commission's final report had been published in August 2010 and that it was their judgment that the Council was providing a 'Fair' (one star) service that had 'excellent prospects for improvement'. The Commission identified a number of improvements achieved by the Housing Service since the previous inspection, along with a number of areas where strengths were adjudged to outweigh weaknesses.

6.3 The Commission also made 4 specific recommendations in relation to the following areas –

- Access to services and customer focus;
- Allocation of vacant social housing;
- Prevention of homelessness
- Unit cost benchmarking and value for money.

6.4 We were informed that the Council was expected to act on the recommendations and to address the weaknesses identified in the Commission's report. The Housing Improvement Plan, developed by the Strategic & Community Housing Service shortly after the self assessment submitted to the Commission for inspection, anticipated to a great extent the improvements and weaknesses that were identified in the report and it provided a ready made framework within which the required local improvement actions

were being managed and monitored. A review was to be carried out to ensure that all of the Audit Commission's recommendations and findings were reflected appropriately in the Housing Improvement Plan and its underlying action plans.

- 6.5 We were also informed that the Audit Commission had invited the Council to submit a formal response to the inspection recommendations to be published alongside the report on the Commission's website. There were no material issues with the inspection's findings or the conduct of the inspection and, overall, the inspection was balanced, professional and a positive experience for the Service. Consequently, and with the deadline for submission of the Council's response being 11 October, this was submitted following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Housing.
- 6.6 Having noted the Audit Commission's final report and judgements in relation to its re-inspection we approved the proposed approach for responding to the Audit Commission's recommendations and findings and we asked that our thanks to staff for their efforts be placed on record.

7. WITHDRAWAL OF THE TEMPORARY ACCOMMODATION WORKER'S REBATE SCHEME

- 7.1 We considered a report which informed us of the Haringey Workers Rebate Scheme which was introduced in 1989 to limit the amount of rent that working households were required to pay for the temporary accommodation provided for them under the homelessness legislation. The report described the purpose of the scheme, how it operated, who benefited from the 'capping' of rents, the unintended consequences for both the Council and local residents of operating such a scheme and it sought our approval to the withdrawal of the Scheme.
- 7.2 We were informed that since the introduction of the Scheme twenty one years ago there had been numerous changes that had helped to increase the disposable income of homeless households living in temporary accommodation and in employment. Only two London authorities were known to operate a scheme of this type and one of those was in the process of withdrawing it.
- 7.3 The Scheme was at odds with the Council's objective of reducing the number of homeless households living in temporary accommodation as working households living in the private rented sector were not entitled to this rebate. The Scheme's continued operation discouraged households from accepting the offer of private rented accommodation even when this would meet their needs.
- 7.4 The Scheme was expensive and might actually contribute to higher levels of homelessness in Haringey since it might encourage homeless households in neighbouring boroughs to pursue homelessness applications with Haringey. By reducing the households' Workers Rebate by £50 per week from 1 January 2011 we would be allowing those households in receipt of much more than £50 per week to continue to receive part of their rebate for a further three months until the end of March 2011.
- 7.5 We report that we approved the withdrawal of the Workers Rebate Scheme with immediate effect and agreed to a £50 reduction in the weekly Workers Rebate awarded to

all existing recipients with effect from 1 January 2011. We also approved the withdrawal of the weekly Workers Rebate for all existing recipients with effect from 31 March 2011.

Neighbourhoods

8. WINTER SERVICE PLAN

- 8.1 The Council will be aware that as a highway authority we have an obligation to keep highways free of snow and ice as far as reasonably practicable. The report sought our approval to the 2010/11 Winter Service Plan which detailed the policies and operational procedures for dealing with snow and ice on the highway.
- 8.2 Last winter was the worst for 30 years and had placed all local authorities under severe pressure and tested winter service plans to the extreme. We considered a report which advised us that there had been some useful learning points from the experience but care needed to be taken not to put in place unnecessary and possibly costly changes to the Winter Service Operational Plan that might not be required for a less severe winter.
- 8.3 The Winter Service Operational Plan recommended to us which had been the subject of prior consideration by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee contained a number of changes that should help to improve the Council's response to severe weather conditions. These were:
- Thirty two carriageways have been lifted out of Priority 2 or 3 into Priority 1, these changes were due to review of risk factors and also the presence of certain types of premises like the Mortuary and SEN schools. Five carriageways have been taken out of Priority 1 for opposite reasons.
 - A new Priority 1B Carriageway category has been devised so that in term time schools on Priority 2 or 3 carriageways can be given accelerated treatment if it is warranted.
 - Sixty one carriageways have been lifted out of Priority 3 into Priority 2 in recognition of the need to help support services gain access to Residential Care Homes from an earlier stage than would otherwise have been the case.
 - Town Centre and Residential Care Home pavement gritting is now known as Priority 1 pavement gritting and has been extended to automatically include gritting outside transport hubs, hospitals and emergency service premises.
 - A new Resilience Network has been devised in conjunction with Transport for London which ensures that in the event of another severe shortage of grit like last winter, there will be a recognised pan-London minimum gritted carriageway network that will keep London's main roads moving.
 - Arrangements for gritting pavements for schools have been formalised into a new Priority 2 pavement gritting schedule that can be used in term time if required.
 - There is a proposed extension of the grit bin network from 103 sites up to 147 sites.
- 8.4 We were informed that many of these changes had arisen as a result of feedback and suggestions from various sources. Also, that it was important to understand that the Winter Service Operational Plan was only designed to deal with gritting of public

highways. Homes for Haringey, the Parks Service, the Children and Young People's Service, Adult Culture and Community Services and Corporate Property Services all had responsibility for winter service and gritting arrangements within the external areas and premises for which they were responsible. Similarly, HSP partners and Registered Social Landlords had responsibility for their own property.

- 8.5 We noted that guidance and support was available from the Environmental Resources Team and that the Plan did not address the issue of pot-holes that might arise after severe weather.
- 8.6 We report that we adopted the Winter Service Operational Plan 2010/11 for the coming winter including the policies that determined when gritting would be undertaken and the prioritisation of locations for gritting activity. We also granted approval to the extension of the grit bin network to include 44 new sites of high and medium priority at a cost of £15,000 and to the maintenance of medium grit stock levels throughout the winter. Where stock fell below these minimum levels then in-season grit top up orders were to be placed to bring the stock back up to at least the minimum levels. The Winter Service Operation Plan would be reviewed annually.

Finance and Sustainability

9. TREASURY MANAGEMENT 2010/11 MID YEAR ACTIVITY AND PERFORMANCE UPDATE

- 9.1 This matter was the subject of a report to the Council meeting on 18 October 2010 by the General Purposes Committee (meeting held on 23 September 2010).

10. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE INSURANCE LONDON CONSORTIUM (ILC) UNDER AN S.101 AGREEMENT

- 10.1 We considered an exempt report which proposed the establishment of an Insurance London Consortium (ILC) on a formal basis under an agreement under Section 101(5) of the Local Government Act 1972 which allowed a local authority to arrange for any other authority to discharge a function on its behalf.
- 10.2 We noted that through an alliance of like-minded London Boroughs, the aim was to reduce the cost of risk for the public sector through a long term collaborative commitment to risk management excellence. The exercise would meet the objectives of improving value for money and the shared services agenda by the combined purchasing power and shared workload of a consortium approach.
- 10.3 We report that we authorised the Leader or a nominated Cabinet Member to conclude an agreement under Section 101 (5) of the Local Government Act 1972 by the Council with the London Boroughs of Camden, Croydon, Harrow, Islington, Lambeth and Tower Hamlets, and the Royal Borough of Kingston upon Thames, in order to set up the Insurance London Consortium with the London Borough of Croydon as the Accountable Body. We also delegated authority to make payments and to agree financial arrangements, within the limits of financial delegation, for the purposes of the proposed

ILC agreement to the Director of Corporate Resources. Authority to act on behalf of the Council as 'Representative' was delegated to the Risk and Insurance Manager and as 'Alternate' to the Head of Audit and Risk Management for the purposes of the proposed ILC agreement.

Leader

11. PROPOSED ADDENDUM TO THE LONDON LOCAL AUTHORITY 'GOLD' RESOLUTION ON JOINT EMERGENCY ARRANGEMENTS

- 11.1 The Council have resolved to delegate their powers under Section 138 of the Local Government Act 1972 (power to incur expenditure to avert or alleviate the effects of an emergency or disaster) to an outside Chief Executive appointed to co-ordinate the response of London local authorities to a catastrophic incident or a lesser emergency. The terms of the delegation are specified in Part 2 Article 11.07 of the Constitution – London Councils Arrangements for Co-ordinating Response to Emergencies. This 'Gold' Chief Executive will be appointed under arrangements approved by Central Government, London Councils and the London Resilience Forum and will have power to incur expenditure needed in connection with a catastrophic incident or in certain circumstances an emergency.
- 11.2 The Council last agreed a change to the terms of the delegation on 20 March 2006 and we considered a report which sought our approval to a proposed addendum to the existing 'Gold' resolution intended to improve London wide arrangements for responding both to exceptional crises and to lower impact emerging disruptive events.
- 11.3 The proposed Addendum was intended to improve London-wide arrangements for responding both to exceptional crises and to lower impact emerging disruptive events. We also noted that the Addendum had been approved in principle by the Leaders' Committee of London Councils but that before the new arrangements could take effect, the Cabinet/ Executive of every London local authority had to pass a similar resolution to agree and accept the Addendum.
- 11.4 We were informed that subject to our approval, the Constitution Review Working Group would be requested to recommend the incorporation of the new arrangements into the Council's Constitution through amendments to Article 11.07 in Part 2.
- 11.5 We report that we approved the Addendum to the existing London Local Authority "Gold" resolution proposed in the report subject to it taking effect only once London Councils had notified that all London local authorities had agreed and accepted it. We also noted that a Memorandum of Understanding for Mutual Aid between the Boroughs was to be entered into by the Chief Executive under his delegated powers.

12. THE COUNCIL'S PERFORMANCE

- 12.1 We considered a report which presented, on an exception basis, performance information for the year to July 2010, sought our agreement to budget virements in accordance with financial regulations and to other action necessary in order to address the in year budget reductions imposed by the Government.

12.2 We noted that of the 37 key service indicators monitored 25 had improved since 2009/10, 3 were roughly the same, 5 were worse with no comparison possible for the remaining 4 indicators. Some areas where targets were being met or where there had been an improvement were:

- Continued good performance on adult social care clients receiving self directed support.
- Progress on children's social care assessments is being made whilst keeping the quality on an improvement trajectory. The recent Ofsted unannounced inspection found performance management arrangements and case auditing to be a strength.
- Call centre telephone answering indicators continue to show improvement over time and the target was exceeded in August.
- There has been a 13.6% reduction in acquisitive crime compared with the same period last year.
- Recycling and cleanliness targets continue to be exceeded.

12.3 However, there were also areas where targets were not being met and these included:

- Performance on the timely completion of core assessments for children's social care has reduced over the past few months and they remain below average. The recent Ofsted unannounced inspection found that assessments are undertaken in a timely and focused manner under clear management direction.
- 15.7% of looked after children have had 3 or more placements, higher than average although performance on placements lasting 2 years or more is slightly better than average for London.
- The average time for processing new benefit claims and change events remains comparatively high at 28 days. The service remains dedicated to ensure that demonstrable month on month improvement continues, through the implementation of the action plan.
- Average re-let times for local authority dwellings increased in August to 46 days significantly higher than the target of 25 days.
- Households in temporary accommodation continue to reduce but not at the targeted level.

12.4 With regard to financial information, we noted that the overall general fund revenue budget, based on the August position stood at a projected £8.5 million above budget, a decrease of £0.7 million since the last period. The actions to restrict expenditure put in place since July were beginning to take effect in particular a top slicing of supplies and services budgets. The underlying causes of the forecast over spend remained the high level of service demand particularly within Children and Young Peoples Services (CYPS) along with the increased financial liability due to changes in Housing Benefit Subsidy rules. The forecast level of overspend was extremely serious and if not addressed would utilise most of the Council's general fund general reserve. Significant reductions in funding from Government grants was also expected in future years as well as in-year

reductions in grant in the current year for which preparations were being made. It was imperative that there was no significant overspend in 2010/11 in advance of the tight budget position expected in years to come. While the reduction in the forecast overspend was welcomed there should be no let up in effort to bring the budget in on target by the year-end.

12.5 The Council's Non-Service Revenue (NSR) budget had a £1.0 million general contingency built in for 2010/11 as part of the budget planning process. This was now being held uncommitted thus contributing a year end under spend of £1.0 million to help offset the significant service pressures being experienced. The dedicated schools budget (DSB) element of the overall Children & Young People's (CYP) Service budget was projected to spend at budget. The forecast revenue over spend within the Housing Revenue Account (HRA) had reduced to £0.7 million from £0.8 million and was due to budget pressures within the building services section of the company accounts. The projected capital year end variance, based on the August position, was an under spend of £1.4 million.

12.6 We report that we agreed as follows -

- To note the report and the progress being made against the Council's priorities;
- To require Directors to take necessary action to bring current year spending to within their approved budget;
- To agree the budget changes (virements) in the table below –

Revenue Virements						
Period	Service	Key	Amount current year (£'000)	Full year Amount (£'000)	Reason for budget changes	Description
5	ACCS	Rev*	300	300	Corrective Budget Realignment	Virement of under spend in No Recourse to Public Funds (NRPF) to address pressure within Physical Disabilities Commissioning
5	ACCS	Rev	156	0	Corrective Budget Realignment	Virement from OPS Providers Services to offset overspend in OPS Commissioning.
5	ACCS	Rev	145	145	Corrective Budget Realignment	Transfer of Social Worker posts from OPS Assessment & Care Mgt to Safeguarding Team
5	ACCS	Rev*	341	341	Corrective Budget Realignment	Allocation of budgets within No Recourse to Public Funds cost centres
5	ACCS	Rev	124	124	Corrective Budget Realignment	Reflecting salaries and income budgets for posts funded by Disabled Facilities Grant Fees
5	ACCS	Rev	149	0	Corrective Budget Realignment	Reflecting one off external funding for Increasing Fitness budget
5	ACCS	Rev*	489	489	Corrective Budget Realignment	Director's Budget zero base exercise
5	UE	Rev	150		2010/11 Grant Allocation	Grant award from Communities and Local Government: Growth Area Coordinator project
5	UE	Rev*	342		2010/11 Grant Allocation	Budget set up for 2010-11 for Future Jobs Fund block 2 from Department for Work and Pensions

5	UE	Rev	155		Budget correction	Allocation of supporting people grant and ABG.
5	UE	Rev	106		Budget correction	Adjustment of depreciation charges.
5	UE	Rev	224		Corrective Budget Realignment	Realign budgets on sundry cost for housing GF.
5	UE	Rev*	691		Corrective Budget Realignment	Realign budgets according to staff structure within housing GF.
5	UE	Rev	142		Corrective Budget Realignment	Re-allocation of budget per TFL portal. Corridors to neighbourhoods
5	UE	Rev	155		Corrective Budget Realignment	Adjusting the income budget for Traffic Management Orders to match 2009-10 income.
5	UE	Rev	209		2010/11 Grant Allocation	New grant funding received for young people's substance misuse
5	UE	Rev*	1,085		2010/11 Grant Allocation	New grant funding received for the Haringey Drug Action Team.
5	UE	Rev*	268		2010/11 Grant Allocation	Realign budget to match grant funding received for young people's substance misuse.
P3	UE	Rev	191	191	Budget realignment	Budget adjustment.
5	PP/CR	Rev	112		Corrective Budget Realignment	One off budget for information governance.
5	PP	Rev*	521		Grant Allocations 2010-11	Drug and Alcohol Action Team (DAAT) S256 2010/11 grant funding
5	PP	Rev*	377		Grant Allocations 2010-12	Haringey / Enfield PCT HIV Prevention Grant contribution 2010/11
5	Council Wide	Rev*	1,145		Budget savings	10% Top Slice of Supplies & Services budgets
5	Council Wide	Rev*	534	534	Corrective Budget Realignment	2010/11 Insurance recharge adjustments to reflect revised policy charges

Capital Virements

Period	Service	Key	Amount current year (£'000)	Full year Amount (£'000)	Reason for budget changes	Description
P5	ACCS	Capital	133		Grant allocation to be utilised in 2010/11	Framework i 2009 Social Care Single Capital Pot Grant
P5	ACCS	Capital	217		Grant allocation to be utilised in 2010/11	Framework i 2008 2009 & 2010 Social Care IT Infrastructure Grant
P5	ACCS	Capital	150		Funding allocation to be utilised in 2010/11	Allocation of SIF funding for Park Road Pools filtration project
P5	ACCS	Capital	200		Corrective budget realignment	Re-allocation of funds from Parks to Park Road Pools filtration project
P5	CR	Capital*	266		Funding allocation to be utilised in 2010/11	AP&P Ice Rink Project additional budget
P5	CR	Capital*	360		Corrective budget realignment	Broadwater Farm Community Centre transferring from PPP&C to ACCS
P5	CYPS	Capital	129		Corrective budget realignment	Budget alignment due to reduction in DfE grants and utilisation of contingency
P5	CYPS	Capital	(200)		Corrective budget realignment	Budget alignment due to reduction in DfE grants and utilisation of contingency
P5	CYPS	Capital*	(454)		Corrective budget realignment	Budget alignment due to reduction in DfE grants and utilisation of

						contingency
P5	CYPS	Capital*	(492)		Corrective budget realignment	Budget alignment due to reduction in DfE grants and utilisation of contingency
P5	CYPS	Capital	100		Corrective budget realignment	Budget alignment due to reduction in DfE grants and utilisation of contingency

Under the Constitution certain virements are key decisions. Key decisions (highlighted by an asterisk in the table) are:

- For revenue, any virement which results in change in a Directorate cash limit of more than £250,000; and
- For capital, any virement which results in the change of a programme area of more than £250,000.

13. DELEGATED DECISIONS AND SIGNIFICANT ACTIONS

13.1 We were informed of the following significant actions taken by a Director under delegated powers - -

Director of Adult, Culture and Community Services

Deletion of Contracts Assistant Post.

Director of Corporate Services

Award of Framework Agreement for the Collection, Transport and Sustainable End-of-Life Management of Fixtures, Fittings and Equipment (Lot 1 – Furniture).